STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE HIGH ISLAND CREEK WATERSHED DISTRICT SITTING AS THE DRAINAGE AUTHORITY FOR RENVILLE/SIBLEY/MCLEOD JUDICIAL DITCH 11 & RENVILLE COUNTY DITCH 26

In the Matter of the Petition for Improvement of Sibley, Renville, McLeod Judicial Ditch 11 Lateral D

In the Matter of the Petition for Improvement of Renville County Ditch 26

FINDINGS AND ORDER ESTABLISHING IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

The High Island Creek Watershed District Board of Managers, sitting as drainage authority for Renville, Sibley, McLeod Judicial Ditch 11, and as drainage authority for Renville County Ditch 26, noticed and conducted a public hearing on February 15, 2022 continued to April 12, 2022, on separate petitions for improvement for Renville, Sibley McLeod Judicial Ditch 11, and Renville County Ditch 26. On motion duly made by Manager Miner, and seconded by Manager Schwerter, the Board of Managers adopts the following findings and order:

FINDINGS

- 1. Renville, Sibley McLeod Judicial Ditch 11 ("JD 11") is a public drainage system primarily under the jurisdiction of a joint board of Renville, Sibley, and McLeod Counties acting as drainage authority, but pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 103D.625 subd. 4, High Island Creek Watershed District has jurisdiction over any petition for improvement of a drainage system located within the watershed district. Because JD 11 is located within High Island Creek Watershed District, the High Island Creek Watershed District Board of Managers (the "Board"), has jurisdiction over the improvement petitions discussed herein.
- 2. Renville County Ditch 26 ("CD 26") is a public drainage system primarily under the jurisdiction of Renville County acting as drainage authority, but pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 103D.625 subd. 4, High Island Creek Watershed District has jurisdiction over any petition for improvement of a drainage system located within the watershed district. Because CD 26 is located within High Island Creek Watershed District, the Board has jurisdiction over the improvement petitions discussed herein.

- 3. On November 26, 2012, the Board accepted a Petition for an Improvement of JD 11 (the "JD 11 Petition") submitted by the Virgil Buboltz Trust, et al. (collectively, "JD 11 Petitioners").
- 4. The JD 11 Petition proposed improvements consisting of increasing the capacity or enlarging the JD 11, Lateral D tile line from its outlet into the open ditch portion of JD 11 to its terminus in Section 15 Martinsburg Township.
- 5. On November 26, 2012, the Board accepted a Petition for an Improvement of CD 26 (the "CD 26 Petition") submitted by Dwight Swanson, et al. (collectively, "CD 26 Petitioners").
- 6. The CD 26 Petition proposed improvements consisting of increasing the capacity or enlarging the CD 26 tile lines along the main line outletting into JD 11 Lateral D, Branch 2, Branch 3, Branch 4, Branch 5 and Branch 6.
- 7. Because CD 26 outlets into JD 11 Lateral D and the improvement of CD 11 is necessary for the feasible improvement of CD 26, both petitions are considered together and will be evaluated together for purposes of the establishment of the improvements.
- 8. By prior Order, the Board appointed Duane Hansel of Bolton & Menk, Inc. as the Engineer to prepare a Preliminary Engineer's Reports for the JD 11 Petition and the CD 26 Petition. Duane Hansel retired during these proceedings and his Shaun Luker also of Bolton & Menk, Inc. thereafter took over primarily responsibility for the engineering on these improvement petitions.
- 9. On or about September 2013, the Engineer delivered its Preliminary Engineers Reports the Board, addressing the Petition for Improvement of JD 11 and CD 26 (the "Preliminary Engineer's Report") The Preliminary Engineer's Report was delivered to DNR, but there is no evidence that DNR submitted an advisory report.
- 10. On December 23, 2013, the Board noticed and held a preliminary hearing to consider the JD 11 Petition, CD 26 Petition, and the Preliminary Engineer's Report.
- 11. By the December 23, 2013 Findings of Fact and Order, the Board found as follows with respect to the JD 11 improvement:
 - a. The improvement as set forth in the Preliminary Engineer's Report meets the requirements of Minnesota Chapter 103E;
 - b. The improvement is necessary;
 - c. The improvement would be of public benefit and promote public health, after considering the environmental, land use, and multipurpose water management criteria in section 103E.015, subd. 1; and
 - d. The outlet for the project is adequate.

- 12. The Board reaffirms the findings made with respect to the JD 11 improvement made during the preliminary hearing.
- 13. By the December 23, 2013 Findings of Fact and Order, the Board found as follows with respect to the CD 26 improvement:
 - a. The improvement as set forth in the Preliminary Engineer's Report meets the requirements of Minnesota Chapter 103E;
 - b. The improvement is necessary;
 - c. The improvement would be of public benefit and promote public health, after considering the environmental, land use, and multipurpose water management criteria in section 103E.015, subd. 1; and
 - d. The outlet for the project is adequate.
- 14. The Board reaffirms the findings made with respect to the CD 26 improvement made during the preliminary hearing.
- 15. The Engineer was directed by the separate December 23, 2013 Findings and Order to complete a Final Engineer's Report for JD 11 and CD 26.
- 16. The Board subsequently appointed Bill Moldestad, Jim Weidemann, and Ron Ringquist as viewers for the JD 11 improvement and CD 26 improvement. Subsequent to their appointment, the viewers recommended that a redetermination of benefits be completed on both JD 11 and CD 26 in order to ensure the benefits of the improvements were not disproportional to the existing benefits. Because the Board's jurisdiction at this time extends only to consideration of the JD 11 Petition and CD 26 Petition, and not to overall management of the respective drainage systems, the Board could not direct the completion of a redetermination of benefits for JD 11 or CD 26. Instead, the Board requested that the respective primary drainage authorities for each of JD 11 and CD 26 complete redeterminations. The Board, in consultation with Renville County and the petitioners, determined not to move forward further with the improvement until the redeterminations were completed. As a result, there was a substantial delay in the completion of these improvement projects while the redetermination proceedings were being conducted.
- 17. In 2020, the Board learned the redeterminations of JD 11 and CD 26 were completed. The Board thereafter directed the Engineer to resume work on the Final Engineer's Report.
- 18. Because the original viewing team was unable to serve after the substantial delay in proceedings, on October 26, 2020, the Board appointed an alternative viewing team of Ron Ringquist, Brad Wick, and Joe Jacobs to serve as viewers for the JD 11 and CD 26 improvements. The viewer's thereafter signed oaths in accordance with statute.
- 19. The Engineer completed his combined Final Engineer's Report for both improvement projects and submitted a copy to the Board in October 2021. At the same time, the Engineer

submitted a copy of the report to the Department of Natural Resources. The Final Engineer's Report proposed an improvement design with a ¹/₂" drainage coefficient.

- 20. The viewers completed their reports dated December 14, 2021 for each of the JD 11 and CD 26 improvement project, which included a benefits and damages statement, for all property affected by the proposed improvement and filed their report with the Board.
- 21. A final hearing on the JD 11 Petition and CD 26 Petition was set for February 15, 2022. Notice by mail, posting, and publication was given for this February 15, 2022 hearing; however, due to the publication schedule of the three newspapers which published the notice, publication was accomplished only 20 days before the hearing, rather than the 21 days required by 103E.325.
- 22. The final hearing on both improvements was held on February 15, 2022 at the Sibley County Courthouse basement meeting room, Gaylord, MN.
- 23. At the final hearing, the Engineer presented the Final Engineer's Reports and gave a presentation on the details of the improvement project. Through his public presentation and the Final Engineer's Report, the Engineer provided evidence of a description of the petitioned improvement and recommended alternative, feasibility, project cost, public and provate benefits, land use, flood characteristics, adequacy of the outlet, environmental impacts, permitting requirements, and funding sources.
- 24. Viewer Ron Ringquist gave a public presentation of the viewers' methodology and calculation of the determination of benefits of the improvement projects. Mr. Ringquist also noted additional changes or amendments based on additional information viewers learned after submitting their reports and statements.
- 25. The DNR submitted a letter dated November 10, 2021 to the Board of Managers as the DNR's Final Advisory Report under Minn. Stat. § 103E.301. The Final Advisory Report was read aloud during the February 15, 2022 final hearing.
 - a. The DNR Advisory Report, as well as questions of the board and other landowners, raised concerns about changing the culvert at 510th St. from a 48" culvert to a 60" culvert. The Engineer explained that downstream flow will not be significantly impacted by the change in culvert, because the headwater (upstream) side of the culvert would simply rise high enough to push a similar amount of water through. In addition, the 60" culvert will be a salvage culvert from Renville County whereas there is no available salvage culvert of the next size lower (54"), so that would otherwise add significant cost. Based on the Engineer's analysis, the Board finds a 60" culvert in that location is appropriate, is necessary for the proper hydraulic function of the system as determined by the Engineer, and will not negatively contribute to downstream impacts.
 - b. The DNR Advisory Report questions the accuracy of the watershed boundary. The Engineer confirmed the boundaries of watershed, as adjusted, are accurate.

- c. The DNR Advisory Report raised concerns about water quantity and timing of flow. The Board finds that the Engineer's modeling is reliable. However, in light of the concerns raised by the DNR, the Board finds revising the project to use a 3/8" drainage efficient is a prudent alternative to limit downstream impacts to landowners and water quality.
- d. The DNR Advisory Report raises questions about impacts to wetlands and urges the Board to comply with the Wetland Conservation Act before approving the project. Bolton and Menk completed a wetland delineation of this project in 2014, and the Board finds that based on the delineation study and Engineer's analysis, the project appears to comply with all wetland laws.
- e. The DNR Advisory Report raises concerns about the project meeting goals of the draft 1W1P for the Lower Minnesota River Watershed, of which High Island Creek is a part. Because the 1W1P is only in draft stage, it would be inappropriate to treat it as final. Further, the Board separately recommends and encourages individual landowners to adopt BMPs within their own farming practice; the Board has separately enacted a cost-share grant program that may be available to defray the cost of implementing these BMPs.
- 26. During the public hearing portion of the proceedings, several members of the public offered comments and asked questions of the viewers or engineer concerning the amounts of benefits and damages determined and the desirability of the project. In particular, some landowners of property downstream of the improvement area raised concerns that additional water from the improvement would cause additional or prolonged flooding in their fields.
- 27. Other members of the public raised concerns about impacts further downstream.
- 28. During the February 15, 2022 Final Hearing, the Board directed the Engineer to complete additional study of the project including the downstream impacts and potential additional or prolonged flooding. The Board directed the viewers to determine whether damages should be paid to any downstream landowners on account of additional or prolonged flooding based on the Engineer's analysis. The hearing was continued to April 5, 2022, but due to later scheduling conflicts, the continued final hearing was rescheduled to April 12, 2022.
- 29. Prior to the continued final hearing, the Board requested that the Engineer and viewers give alternative reports of a 3/8" drainage coefficient design for consideration at the continued hearing.
- 30. Notice of the date, time, and location of the hearing, in addition to a Zoom Link for remote attendance, was posted on the High Island Creek Watershed District Website.
- 31. The following notice by posting was provided:
 - a. A Notice of Final Hearing for the JD 11 and CD 26 Improvement, a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on JD 11 of the potential application of

separable maintenance, and a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on CD 26 of the potential application of separable maintenance were posted on March 15, 2022 on the public notice bulletin board at the Sibley County Courthouse, Renville County Courthouse, and McLeod County Courthouse.

- 32. The following notice by publication was provided:
 - a. A Notice of Final Hearing for the JD 11 and CD 26 Improvement, a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on JD 11 of the potential application of separable maintenance, and a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on CD 26 of the potential application of separable maintenance were published in the News Mirror/Bird Island Union on March 16, 23, and 30, 2022
 - b. A Notice of Final Hearing for the JD 11 and CD 26 Improvement, a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on JD 11 of the potential application of separable maintenance, and a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on CD 26 of the potential application of separable maintenance were published in the Gaylord Hub on March 16, 23, and 30, 2022
 - c. A Notice of Final Hearing for the JD 11 and CD 26 Improvement, a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on JD 11 of the potential application of separable maintenance, and a Notice of Final Hearing notifying benefitted property on CD 26 of the potential application of separable maintenance were published in the McLeod County Chronicle and Arlington Enterprise on March 16, 23, and 30, 2022
- 33. On March 24, 2022 landowners affected by the CD 26 improvement were mailed the following: Continued Hearing Notice, Viewers' Report, Viewers' Benefits and Damages Statement, Individual Property Owners Report, and Map of Improvement.
- 34. On March 24, 2022 landowners affected by the JD 11 improvement were mailed the following: Continued Hearing Notice, Viewers' Report, Viewers' Benefits and Damages Statement, Individual Property Owners Report, and Map of Improvement.
- 35. On March 24, 2022 landowners benefitted by JD 11 (and landowners on ditches outletting into JD 11 and paying an outlet fee) who may be impacted by the application of separable maintenance were mailed the following: Continued Hearing Notice. Prior to the earlier hearing, such landowners were mailed copies of a Listing that included parcel number, landowner's name, estimated cost of separable maintenance, estimated cost of improvement, and total estimated cost.
- 36. On March 24, 2022 landowners benefitted by JD 26 who may be impacted by the application of separable maintenance were mailed the following: Continued Hearing Notice. Prior to the earlier hearing, such landowners were mailed copies of a Listing that included parcel number, landowner's name, estimated cost of separable maintenance, estimated cost of improvement, and total estimated cost.

- 37. The March 24, 2022 mailed notices included the correct time and date, and city of the hearing, but incorrectly noted the location as the Sibley County Courthouse, which was the location of the earlier February 15, 2022 hearing, rather than the Sibley County Service Center. Both locations are in the small city of Gaylord, MN. Seth Sparks, ditch inspector for Renville County, initially went to the Sibley County Courthouse to redirect any persons who went to the Courthouse to the correct hearing location, and by 1:00pm no one had arrived at the Courthouse. In addition, the Sibley County Auditor (who works at the Courthouse) was asked to direct any persons arriving later to the correct hearing location. The start time of the meeting was delayed approximately 15 minutes to ensure any late arrivals were able to participate. The Board finds that the mailed notices, which correctly identified the date, time, and city of the hearing, combined with efforts to direct persons to the correct building, and combined with the correct notice by publication, posting, and website posting, is sufficient notice under Minn. Stat. § 103E.325.
- 38. Evidence of all actions in this matter, including preliminary orders, appointments, oaths, affidavits of mailing, publication, and posting, as well as hearing agendas and presentation materials are present in the record of proceedings, on file with the Board, and incorporated herein by reference.
- 39. At the continued Final Hearing, the Engineer presented additional information, including specific study and data on downstream impacts at road crossings downstream, analysis of additional ponding time in downstream land, corrected separable maintenance estimates, and an alternative 3/8" drainage co-efficient design.
- 40. At the continued Final Hearing, the Viewer's presented additional information, including some corrections to the viewer's reports, additional damages due to the downstream impact based off the Engineer's analysis of additional ponding time, and CD 26 outlet fee calculation.
- 41. At both the initial and continued final hearings, some landowners raised concerns about downstream impact and cumulative impacts from additional water flow, and at least Dean Ahlbrecht advocated specifically that a 3/8" drainage co-efficient project be adopted. The Board specifically finds that concerns about local downstream flooding should be addressed by adopting a 3/8" drainage coefficient which will provide improved drainage for the landowners, but will not overly burden CD 11 and other watercourses downstream. Additionally, damages ought to be paid to those landowners who will see specific additional flooding; because the 3/8" drainage coefficient will reduce those flooding impacts by about 40% as compared to the ½" drainage coefficient, it is appropriate to adopt the smaller improvement.
- 42. Several written comments were submitted either prior to or at the hearing, and are made part of the record.
- 43. Petitioner Karen Lamb, Byron Johnson, and Petitioner's attorney, all spoke in favor of the project being approved and also expressed concerns about the length of time the project has been pending. The Board finds the comments in favor of the project demonstrate the public need, benefit, necessity, and practicability of the project.

44. Based on the Engineer's estimates, together with the Viewer's calculation of damages, with a 3/8" drainage coefficient design the estimated costs are as follows:

a.	JD 11 total project cost, including damages:	\$979,635
	JD 11 separable maintenance:	\$627,095
	JD 11 net improvement costs:	\$352,540
b.	CD 26 total project cost, including damages:	\$394,935
	CD 26 separable maintenance:	\$378,831
	CD 26 net improvement cost:	\$16,104

- 45. The Board finds that Lateral D of JD 11 and CD 216 are each out of repair and that the repairs recommended in the Final Engineer's Report are necessary and proper to restore and repair the drainage systems. Accordingly, the Board finds it is appropriate to allocate the repair costs as set forth above as separable maintenance to be subtracted from the project cost and assessed as repairs.
- 46. The Board finds that the Total Estimated Improvement Cost of the JD 11 project is \$352,540, as determined by the Engineer and viewers.
- 47. The Board finds that benefits of the proposed JD 11 improvement project, as determined by the viewers, are \$398,712.15.
- 48. The Board finds that the Total Estimated Improvement Cost of the CD 26 project is \$16,104, as determined by the Engineer and viewers.
- 49. The Board finds that benefits of the proposed CD 26 improvement project, as determined by the viewers, are \$125,783.14.
- 50. With respect to the factors to be considered under Minn. Stat. § 103E.341, the Board finds as follows with respect to the JD 11 improvement:
 - a. The detailed survey report and viewers' report have been made and other proceedings have been completed under Minnesota Statutes Chapter 103E;
 - b. The reports of the engineers and viewers made or amended are complete and correct;
 - c. The damages and benefits have been properly determined;
 - d. The estimated benefits of are greater than the total estimated cost, including damages.
 - e. The proposed drainage project will be of public utility and benefit, and will promote the public health

- f. The proposed drainage project is practicable after considering the environmental, land use, and multipurpose water management criteria in section 103E.015, subdivision 1.
- 51. With respect to the factors to be considered under Minn. Stat. § 103E.341, the Board finds as follows with respect to the CD 26 improvement:
 - a. The detailed survey report and viewers' report have been made and other proceedings have been completed under Minnesota Statutes Chapter 103E;
 - b. The reports of the engineers and viewers made or amended are complete and correct;
 - c. The damages and benefits have been properly determined;
 - d. The estimated benefits of are greater than the total estimated cost, including damages.
 - e. The proposed drainage project will be of public utility and benefit, and will promote the public health
 - f. The proposed drainage project is practicable after considering the environmental, land use, and multipurpose water management criteria in section 103E.015, subdivision 1.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing findings and the record of the proceedings before the Board, the Board hereby orders as follows:

- 1. The High Island Creek Watershed District acting as drainage authority for JD 11 hereby establishes and orders said Improvement to JD 11 as described in the Final Engineer's Report, as amended to accommodate a 3/8" drainage coefficient.
- 2. The High Island Creek Watershed District acting as drainage authority for CD 26 hereby establishes and orders said Improvement to CD 26 as described in the Final Engineer's Report, as amended to accommodate a 3/8" drainage coefficient.
- 3. The Engineer shall prepare the detailed plans and specification and other necessary documents to allow for bidding on the project.
- 4. Upon completion of the improvement project, the drainage system records shall be updated with the as-built alignment as to the affected areas of the JD 11 and CD 26, respectively.
- 5. The viewers' determination of the benefits and damages of the JD 11 improvement contained in the amended viewers' report attached hereto as Exhibit A is hereby confirmed and adopted by the Board.

- 6. The viewers' determination of the benefits and damages of the CD 26 improvement contained in the amended viewers' report attached hereto as Exhibit B is hereby confirmed and adopted by the Board.
- 7. The viewers, engineer, and attorneys are allowed payment of their accounts of work.
- 8. Of the total cost of the JD 11 improvement, \$627,095 shall be allocated as separable maintenance and assessed against all property benefitted by JD 11, as provided in Minn. Stat. 103E.731, and the balance of the cost of the improvement will be assessed against property benefited by the JD 11 improvement.
- 9. Of the total cost of the CD 26 improvement, \$305,050 shall be allocated as separable maintenance and assessed against all property benefitted by CD 26, as provided in Minn. Stat. 103E.731, and the balance of the cost of the improvement will be assessed against property benefited by the CD 26 improvement
- 10. The auditor, counsel for the drainage authority, and viewers shall collaborate to ensure that the benefits roll is updated to reflect the benefits confirmed in this Order.
- 11. Seth Sparks, ditch inspector for Renville County, is appointed as the Board's agent as Construction Manager, and shall handle the administration of construction of the projects consistent with this Order.
- 12. The Board reserves the right to fund the projects through the issuance of one or more bonds, and assess the cost of the projects to the benefitted landowners over a number of years, charging interest thereon in accordance with law. Such decisions may be made through one or more future orders.

Pursuant to the above-described motion, these Findings and Order were adopted, with the Managers of the High Island Creek Watershed District voting as follows:

Manager Miller: Manager Polzin: Manager Schlueter: Manager Mueller: Manager Peris:

Y N / Abstain / Absent
Y / N / Abstain / Absent
Y N / Abstain / Absent

Dated this 25^{14} day of April, 2022.

President of the High Island Creek Watershed District Board of Managers

Board Adopted	, 2022 , 2022		SIBLEY COUN ICH D IMPROV	TIES JUDICIAL			EXHIBIT	"A"		Project cost Maintenance /EMENT COST	979635.00 619485.00 382650.00	"A" \$4,860.00 25% \$1,215.00	"A-"	"B" \$3,990.00 20% \$798.00		"C" \$2,540.00 10% \$254.00	"C-"	"D" \$860.00 5% \$43.00	"D-" \$297.00	3.00	"TILE"	ACRES	"DITCH"	NONBENEFITED		BE	NEFITTED ACRE	s		ROAD ACRES		
PARCEL						BENEFITTED			PROXIMITY		MPROVEMENT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT			BENEFIT		BENEFIT	TILE	BENEFIT			OR RESTRICTED							DITC	
	NAME LINNENKAMP/DARLA A	DESCRIPTIO	SEC T-N	R-W ACRES 32 68.45		ACRES 1	BENEFITS 860.00	BENEFIT 43.00	RATE 85	BENEFIT 36.55	COST 35.08	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES 1	43.00	FEET	VALUE	R/W	DAMAGES	WETLAND ACRE	S	A	В	С	D 1		FEE	<u>.r</u>
5	5445 EASTVIEW AVE MINNETRISTA.MN.55364	JESE	10 114	52 08.45	1	1	860.00	43.00	83	56.55	33.06							1	45.00													
17-00172-00 S	SCHMIDT/LESLEI & JASON	SESE	10 114	32 11.55	4	1	860.00	43.00	85	36.55	35.08							1	43.00					3.00								
	76025 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																															
17-00360-00 N	MLP FARMS, LLC	NWNW SWNW	14 114 14 114	32 80.00 32	21 12	21 12		4823.00 3170.00		4340.70 2853.00	4165.83 2738.07			1	798.00 798.00	15 9	3810.00 2286.00	5 2	215.00 86.00								1 1	15 9	5 2			
	P O BOX 603 HECTOR MN 55342													-		-		-														
17-00390-00 L	LINNENKAMP/DARLA A	NENE	15 114	32 40.00	37	37	69560.00	8920.00	90	8028.00	7704.59			3	2394.00	24	6096.00	10	430.00								3	24	10			
	5445 EASTVIEW AVE MINNETRISTA.MN.55364																															
17-00391-00 J	JANOVSKY/DONALD & KATHLEEN	SENE	15 114	32 40.00	38	38	104480.00	22526.00	100	22526.00	21618.54	10	12150.00	6	4788.00	22	5588.00					2.67	1335.0	00		10	6	22				
	10425 KENT AVE MONTGOMERY MN 56069																															
17-00400-00 J	JOHNSON.BYRON N & PAULETTE A	NWNE SWNE	15 114 15 114	32 80.00 32	12 32	12 32		2626.00 10126.00		2494.70 10126.00	2394.20 9718.07			6	4788.00	10 20	2540.00 5080.00	2 6	86.00 258.00			0.3	150.0	00			6	10 20	2 6			
	75508 490TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																															
17-01342-00 J	JOHNSON.BYRON N & PAULETTE A	SENW	15 114	32 40.00	2	2	3400.00	297.00	90	267.30	256.53					1	254.00	1	43.00									1	1			
	75508 490TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																															
17-01360-00 K	KRAMER/RICHARD J & CYNTHIA M	NESW SESW	15 114 15 114	32 94.66 32	2 7	2 7	3400.00 16100.00	297.00 1567.00		282.15 1488.65	270.78 1428.68					1	254.00 1524.00	1	43.00 43.00									1 6	1 1			
	85846 460TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																															
17-01362-00 К	KRAMER/RICHARD J & CYNTHIA M	NESW SESW	15 114 15 114	32 20.00 32	10 9.5	10 9.5		2451.00 2307.50		2328.45 2192.13	2234.65 2103.82			1	798.00	6 9	1524.00 2286.00	3 0.5	129.00 21.50								1	6 9	3 0.5			
	85846 460TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																															
17-00410-00 K 17-00410-00	KRAMER/RICHARD J & CYNTHIA M	NWSE SWSE	15 114 15 114	32 80.00	40 38	40 38		33305.00 23820.00		33305.00 23820.00	31963.31 22860.41	21 11	25515.00 13365.00	7	5586.00 5586.00	8 19	2032.00 4826.00	4	172.00 43.00			3.13 2.62				21 11	7 7	8 19	4	2.00	1.00 114321542_L8 1143215 16.00	.42
8	85846 460TH ST HECTOR MN 55342	SWSE	15 114	52	20	20	102560.00	23020.00	100	23620.00	22000.41	11	12202.00	/	5560.00	13	4620.UU	Ţ	45.00			2.62	1510.0			11	,	13	1	2.00	10.00	
	SWANSON/DWIGHT/TRUSTEE OF DWIGHT SWANSON REVOC TRUST	NESE SESE	15 114 15 114	32 80.00 32	38 36	38 36		12611.00 23101.00		11349.90 21945.95	10892.67 21061.86	1 11	1215.00 13365.00	6 7	4788.00 5586.00	25 16	6350.00 4064.00	6 2	258.00 86.00							1 11	6 7	25 16	6 2	4.00	14.00 114321541 L8 1143215 40.00	.41
7	74679 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																															

Amended February 2022 Amemded April 12, 2022 Board Adopted PARCEL NUMBER NAME		RENVILLE	ND CREEK W/ SIBLEY COUN	ITIES JU	DICIAL D	ITCH NO. 1		EXHIB	IT "A"		Project cos rable Maintenanci PROVEMENT COS	619485.00	"A" \$4,860.00 25% \$1,215.00	"A-"	"B" \$3,990.00 20% \$798.00		"C" \$2,540.00 10% \$254.00	"C-"	"D" \$860.00 5% \$43.00	"D-" \$297.00	3.00	"TILE"	"Di	тсн"	ONBENEFITED	в	ENEFITTED AC	RES		ROAD ACRES	
PARCEL				0	DEEDED	ACRES IN	BENEFITTED	POTENTIAL	GROS	PROXI	IITY NET	IMPROVEMENT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	TILE	BENEFIT	TTEMPORARY CO	NSTRUCTION O	R RESTRICTED						DITCH
UMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTIO	SEC T-N	R-W	ACRES W	ATERSHED	ACRES	BENEFITS	BENEF	T RAT	BENEFIT	COST	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES	VALUE	FEET	VALUE	R/W	DAMAGES V	ETLAND ACRES	A	в	С	D		FEET
7-00440-00	SWANSON/DWIGHT/TRUSTEE OF	NENE	22 114	32	200.00	40	32	92460.0	0 19487	00 100	19487.00	18701.96	11	13365.00	3	2394.00	14	3556.00	4	172.00			0.93	465.00	4	11	3	14	4	4.00	40.00 114322211 L8 114322211
7-00440-00	DWIGHT SWANSON REVOC TRUST	NWNE	22 114	32		40	38	82840.0	0 14734	00 100	14734.00	14140.44	5	6075.00	4	3192.00	20	5080.00	9	387.00			1.69	845.00		5	4	20	9	2.00	40.00
7-00440-00	74679 500TH ST	SWNE	22 114	32		20	20	40020.0	0 10050	00 95	9547.50	9162.88	3	3645.00	5	3990.00	9	2286.00	3	129.00						3	5	9	3		20.00
7-00440-00	HECTOR MN 55342	SENE	22 114			37	34	71160.0			18988.00		8	9720.00	8	6384.00	10	2540.00	8	344.00			3.13	1565.00	1	8	8	10	8	2.00	37.00
17-00440-00		NESE	22 114			19	18		0 11886				5	6075.00	5	3990.00	7	1778.00	1	43.00			2.16	1080.00		5	5	7	1	1.00	19.00
17-01770-00	SWANSON/DWIGHT/TRUSTEE OF	NENW	22 114	32	60.00	24	22.5	41190.0	0 3710	50 85	3153.9	3026.87					13	3302.00	9.5	408.50								13	9.5	1.50	24.00 114322221 L8 114322221
7-01770-00	DWIGHT SWANSON REVOC TRUST	SENW	22 114	32		3	3	5940.0	0 551	00 85	468.3	449.48					2	508.00	1	43.00								2	1		3.00
	74679 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																														
7-00470-00	AHLBRECHT/DEAN & KATHY/TR DEAN & KATHY AHLBRECHT TRUSTS 50383 CO RD 4 HECTOR MN 55342	SWNE	23 114	32	80.00	15	15	34740.0	10 3388	00 95	3218.60	3088.94					13	3302.00	2	86.00								13	2		15.00 114322313_L& 114322313
7-00490-00	AHLBRECHT/DEAN & KATHY/TR	NENW	23 114	32	112.05	2	2	1720.0	00 86	00 5	4.30	4.13							2	86.00									2		2.00 114322321 L8 114322321
7-00490-00	DEAN & KATHY AHLBRECHT TRUSTS 50383 CO RD 4 HECTOR MN 55342	SENW	23 114	32		34	34	29240.0	1462	00 5	73.10	70.16							34	1462.00									34		34.00
7-00491-00	AHLBRECHT/DEAN & KATHY/TR	NWNW	23 114	22	80.00	17	15	12900.0	0 645	00 10	64.50	61.90							15	645.00									15	2.00	17.00 114322322 L8 114322322
7-00491-00	DEAN & KATHY AHLBRECHT TRUSTS	SWNW	23 114 23 114		80.00	40	22	50840.0			4459.50						10	4826.00	3	129.00								19	3	2.00	40.00
-00491-00	50383 CO RD 4 HECTOR MN 55342		23 114	32		40	16	13760.0			34.40						19	4828.00	16	688.00								15	16	2.00	40.00
-00492-00	AHLBRECHT/DEAN & KATHY/TR DEAN & KATHY AHLBRECHT TRUSTS 50383 CO RD 4 HECTOR MN 55342	E2NW	23 114	32	7.95	3	1	860.0	00 43	00 5	2.1	2.06							1	43.00					1.5				1		2.50 114322324_L& 114322324
7-00500-00	DLK FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LLLP	NESW	23 114	32	160.00	40	40	80500.0	0 11825	00 100	11825.00				5	3990.00	30	7620.00	5	215.00			2.57	1285.00			5	30	5		40.00 114322331_L8 114322331
7-00500-00		NWSW	23 114	32		40	38	72700.0	00 11645	00 100	11645.00		1	1215.00	5	3990.00	24	6096.00	8	344.00			2.49	1245.00		1	5	24	8	2.00	40.00
7-00500-00	19212 651ST AVE	NWSE	23 114	32		40	40	66160.0	0 12613	00 100					8	6384.00	23	5842.00	9	387.00			2.66	1330.00			8	23	9		40.00
-00500-00	BUFFALO LAKE MN 55314	SWSE	23 114	32		40	39	79600.0	0 13503	00 95	12827.8	12311.08			7	5586.00	31	7874.00	1	43.00							7	31	1	1.00	40.00
-00510-00	BUBOLTZ/JEFFREY & DAWN	SWSW	23 114	32	80.00	9	9	16140.0	0 1442	00 95	1369.90	1314.71					5	1270.00	4	172.00								5	4		9.00 114322333 L8 114322333
7-00510-00		SESW	23 114			25	25	37440.0							1	798.00	10	2540.00	14	602.00							1	10	14		25.00
	72880 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342	52510	25 114	52		23	23	57.440.0			5743.0				-	, 55.00	10	2340.00	14	502.00							-				

Decembe Amended Februa Amemded April 1 Board Adopted		HIGH ISLANE RENVILLE-SI 2021 BRANC	BLEY COUNTI	ES JUDICIAL	DITCH NO. 1		EXHIBI	r "A"	Separabl IMPRO	Project cost e Maintenance VEMENT COST	619485.00	\$4,860.00 25%	"A-"	"B" \$3,990.00 20%		"C" \$2,540.00 10%	"C-"	"D" \$860.00 5%	"D-"		"TILE"		"DITCH"								
PARCEL				DEEDED		BENEFITTED	POTENTIAL	GROSS	PROXIMITY	NET	IMPROVEMENT	\$1,215.00 BENEFIT	BENEFIT	\$798.00 BENEFIT	BENEFIT	\$254.00 BENEFIT	BENEFIT	\$43.00 BENEFIT	\$297.00 BENEFIT	3.00 TILE	BENEFIT	ACRES		NONBENEF		BI	ENEFITTED ACRES	5		ROAD ACRES	DITCH
	NAME	DESCRIPTION	SEC T-N I				BENEFITS	BENEFIT	RATE	BENEFIT	COST	ACRES	VALUE	ACRES		ACRES	VALUE	ACRES	VALUE	FEET	VALUE	R/W		WETLAND A		А	В	С	D		FEET
17-00520-00	BUBOLTZ/VIRGIL & JUDITH/TR C/O RANDY BUBOLTZ 8751 N SHORE DR SPICER MN 56288		23 114 23 114		7 40	7 38	14420.00 63300.00	0 1356.00 0 21773.00		1356.00 20684.35	1301.37 19851.08	5	6075.00	15	11970.00	5 14	1270.00 3556.00		86.00 172.00			0.75	375.0	10		5	15	5 14	2	2.00	7.00 114322341_L8 114322341 40.00
17-00660-00 17-00660-00	BUBOLTZ/JEFFREY & DAWN 72880 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342	NENE	26 114 26 114		10 12	9 11	14460.00 19540.00	0 1231.00 0 1739.00		984.80 1391.20	945.13 1335.16					4 6	1016.00 1524.00		215.00 215.00									4 6	5	1.00 1.00	12.00 114322611 L8 114322611 12.00
	AHLBRECHT/DEAN & KATHY/TR DEAN & KATHY AHLBRECHT TRUSTS 50383 CO RD 4 HECTOR MN 55342	NW 1/4	26 114	32																			5753.6	3							
	BUBOLTZ/VIRGIL & JUDITH/TR C/O RANDY BUBOLTZ 8751 N SHORE DR SPICER MN 56288	SW 1/4	26 114	32																			4594.6	3							
17-00530-00	AHLBRECHT/DEAN & KATHY/TR DEAN & KATHY AHLBRECHT TRUSTS 50383 CO RD 4 HECTOR MN 55342	NE 1/4	26 114	32																			1741.0	16							
	KRUMREY/BRADLEY & SUSAN BRADLEY & SUSAN KRUMREY TRUSTS PO BOX 134 BUFFALO LAKE MN 55314	SE 1/4	26 114	32																			7436.3	:4							
		HIGH ISLANE RENVILLE-SI 2021 BRANC	BLEY COUNTI	ES JUDICIAL MENT DETER	DITCH NO. 1 RMINATION C							"A"	SOILS	"B"	SOILS	"C"	SOILS	"D"	SOILS										I		
	ROAD AUTHORITY	LOCATION	SEC T-N I	LENGTH R-W (FFFT)					EFFICENCY RATE	NET BENEFITS	MAINT COST	BENEFIT %	BENEFIT	BENEFIT %	BENEFIT	BENEFIT %	BENEFIT	BENEFIT %	BENEFIT VALUE												
												per foot	28.40		11.40		2.85		0.60			-									
	RENVILLE COUNTY	CSAH #4										per toot	28.40		11.40		2.85		0.60												
14-07915-00	HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT	North of	22 114	32 3440				34331.20	20	6866.24	6589.63	25	24424.00	10	3921.60	60	5882.40	5	103.20												
	OLIVIA, MN 56277	COUNTY ROA	D 5007	+ STREET								per foot	22.70		9.10		2.30		0.50												
14-07915-00			22 114					17269.20	5	863.46	828.68	10	8376.30	5	1678.95	85	7213.95														
26-07924-00	RENVILLE CD#26 OUT TOTAL LAND BENEFIT TOTAL ROAD BENEFIT TOTAL ROAD BENEFIT	5					1,772,440.00	51,600.40		79000.00 311,982.45 7,729.70 398,712.15	75817.48 299,414.21 7,418.31 382,650.00	92		111	Be	450.0 enefitted acre	es	211 864.00				22.13				92.00	111.00	450.00	211.00	27.50	
						I	I			16062.15			I		I			I							I					I	

December 20, 20 Amended Februa Amended April 12	iry 2022	HIGH ISL RENVILLI 2021 IMPI	E COUN	ITY DITC	CH NO. 2	26								"A"		"B"		"C"	"C-"	"D"	"D-"		"TILE"		"DITCH"	
Board Adopted	<i>z, 2022</i>	2021 1111	NO VEN				DENEITIO				IMP	ROVEMENT COST	37929.00	\$4,860.00 25% \$1,215.00		\$3,990.00 20% \$798.00		\$2,540.00 10% \$254.00		\$860.00 5% \$43.00	\$297.00	3.00		ACRES		NONBENEFITED
PARCEL NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTIO	DI SEC	T-N			ACRES IN WATERSHED	BENEFITTED ACRES	POTENTIAL BENEFITS	GROSS BENEFIT	PROXIMITY RATE	NET BENEFIT	IMPROVEMEN COST	1 7	BENEFIT VALUE	BENEFIT	BENEFIT VALUE	BENEFIT	BENEFIT VALUE	BENEFIT	BENEFIT	TILE	BENEFIT VALUE			OR RESTRICTED WETLAND ACRES
17-00440-00	SWANSON/DWIGHT/TRUSTEE OF DWIGHT SWANSON REVOC TRUST 74679 SOOTH ST HECTOR MN 55342	NESE				200.00	6	5.5	10610.00		100	975.00	294.00					3.5	889.00	2	86.00			0.67		
17-00450-00	LAMB/KARYN	SESE	22	2 114	32	40.00	40	38	146980.00	29761.00	100	29761.00	8974.22	13	15795.00	14	11172.00	11	2794.00					4.93	2,958.00)
	45952 770TH AVE HECTOR MN 55342																									
17-00460-00	BUBOLTZ/VIR VIRGIL & JUDITH BUBOLTZ TRUSTS	NWSE	22	2 114	32	80.00	14	14	31970.00	3467.00	95	3293.65	993.18			1	798.00	10	2540.00	3	129.00					
17-00460-00	C/O RANDY BUBOLTZ PO BOX 458 HECTOR MN 55342	SWSE	22	2 114	32	80.00	40	39	117800.00	18557.00	100	18557.00	5595.73	4	4860.00	10	7980.00	22	5588.00	3	129.00			5.59	3,354.00)
17-00510-00	BUBOLTZ/JEFFREY & DAWN	swsw	23	3 114	32	80.00	17	14.5	21710.00	1784.00	95	1694.80	511.05	i				5.5	1397.00	9	387.00					
	72880 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																									
17-00680-00	HILLMANN/K KERMIT & VICTORIA HILLMANN TR 440 9TH ST PO BOX 155 COKATO MN 55321	NWNW	26	5 114	32	150.50	9	6.5	13990.00	1334.50	100	1334.50	402.41					5	1270.00	1.5	64.50			1.35	810.00)
17-00681-00	HILLMANN/K KERMIT & VICTORIA HILLMANN TR 440 9TH ST PO BOX 155 COKATO MN 55321	NWNW	26	5 114	32	9.50	1				85															1.00
17-00710-00	FREDRICKSON/RUTH	NENE	27	7 114	32	160.00	35	32	70970.00	7195.00	100	7195.00	2169.60			1	798.00	24	6096.00	7	301.00			1.34	804.00)
	1020 ELM AVE E APT 206 HECTOR MN 55342																									
17-00720-00	BUBOLTZ/VIR VIRGIL & JUDITH BUBOLTZ TRUSTS C/O RANDY BUBOLTZ PO BOX 458 HECTOR MN 55342	NWNE	27	7 114	32	28.82	10	9	21180.00	2075.00	100	2075.00	625.70					8	2032.00	1	43.00			0.14	84.00)
17-00721-00	BUBOLTZ/DOUGLAS & AMY J.	NWNE	27	7 114	32	6.18	6	2	1720.00	86.00	90	77.40	23.34	,						2	86.00					3.00
	49549 740TH AVE HECTOR MN 55342																									
17-00722-00	BUBOLTZ/RANDY & SARA/TRUSTEES RANDY BUBOLTZ REVOCABLE TRUST PO BOX 458 HECTOR MN 55342	NWNE	27	7 114	32	5.00	4	4	8480.00	805.00	90	724.50	218.47	,				3	762.00	1	43.00					

17-00440-00 17-01740-00	SWANSON/DWIGHT/TRUSTEE OF BLAD/GUSTE & ADELL	NESE NESE			200.00 120.00	6 9	5.5 8	10610.00 18410.00	975.00 2154.00	100 20	975.00 430.80	294.00 129.90			1	798.00	3.5 5	889.00 1270.00	2 2	86.00 86.00		0.67	402.00
	74230 440TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																						
17-01743-00	KRAMER/RICHARD J & CYNTHIA M	SESE	21 1	14 32	80.00	5	4	6800.00	594.00	20	118.80	35.82					2	508.00	2	86.00			
	85846 460TH ST HECTOR MN 55342																						
17-01760-00	STAMER/DALE B.	NESW	22 1	14 32	80.00	25	25	74990.00	12281.00	99	12158.19	3666.21	4	4860.00	5	3990.00	13	3302.00	3	129.00			
17-01760-00		SESW	22 1	14 32	80.00	40	39	111140.00	18336.00	100	18336.00	5529.09	6	7290.00	8	6384.00	17	4318.00	8	344.00		0.73	438.00
	43596 CO RD 11 HECTOR MN 55342																						
17-01761-00	STAMER/DALE B.	NWSW	22.4	44 22	02.04	20	20	109290.00	17083.00	25	4270.75	1287.81	~	7290.00	r	3990.00	22	5588.00	5	245.00			
17-01761-00	STAWER/DALE B.	SWSW		14 32 14 32		39 40	38 38	109290.00	19292.00	25	3858.40	1207.01	6 6	7290.00	5 11	8778.00	22 11	2794.00	5 10	215.00 430.00			
1, 01,01 00	43596 CO RD 11	511511		11 52		10	50	105550.00	19292.00	20	5555.10		Ū	7250.00		0770.00		2751.00	10	150.00			
	HECTOR MN 55342																						
17-01765-00	RENVILLE FARM LLC	SWNW	22 1	14 32	90.39	13	13	40330.00	6645.00	90	5980.50	1803.38	2	2430.00	3	2394.00	7	1778.00	1	43.00			
	C/O COMM PART EXCHNGE CO INC ATTN JEFFERY P		22 1			10	9.5	26220.00	3707.00	75	2780.25	838.36	1	1215.00	1	798.00	6.5	1651.00	1	43.00			
	200 S SIXTH ST STE 100	NWNW		14 32		19	18	51580.00	7371.00	75	5528.25	1667.00 1483.41	2 1	2430.00	2	1596.00	13	3302.00	1	43.00			
	MINNEAPOLI: MN 55402	SENW	22 1	14 32		10	10 50.5	31840.00	5466.00	90	4919.40	1403.41	1	1215.00	4	3192.00	4	1016.00	1	43.00			
17-01766-00	SWANSON/CALIB	W2W2	22 1	14 32	10.00	10	2	1720.00	86.00	20	17.20	5.19							2	86.00			
	251 BRYANT AVE NE HECTOR MN 55342																						
17-01770-00	SWANSON DWIGHT TRUSTEE OF DWIGHT SWANSON REVOC TRUST 74679 500TH ST HECTOR MN 55342	NENW	22 1:	14 32	60.00	60	5.5	8090.00	658.50	70	460.95	139.00					2	508.00	3.5	150.50			
17-01780-00	BUBOLTZ/VIR VIRGIL & JUDITH BUBOLTZ TRUSTS C/O RANDY BUBOLTZ PO BOX 458	NWNW	27 1	14 32	40.00	8	7	12740.00	1145.00	20	229.00	69.05					4	1016.00	3	129.00			
	HECTOR MN 55342																						
17-01781-00	BUBOLTZ/VIR VIRGIL & JUDITH BUBOLTZ TRUSTS C/O RANDY BUBOLTZ PO BOX 458 HECTOR MN 55342	NENW	27 1	14 32	80.00	22	21	48070.00	5034.00	20	1006.80	303.59			1	798.00	16	4064.00	4	172.00			
																					Ι		
	TOTAL LAND BENEFITS TOTAL ROAD BENEFITS						453.00	1,096,220.00	165,892.00		125,783.14	37,929.00	45		67		214.5		76.00			9.15	5,490.00
	TOTAL ROAD BENEFITS						F	1,096,220.00	165,892.00		125,783.14	37,929.00				Ber	nefitted ac	res	402.50				
														I									
												I											

1.00